1	Town of Middlebury
2	Ilsley Library Renovation Expansion Working Group
3	Minutes of Meeting
4	January 13, 2022
5	
6	Present:
7	Selectboard Members Dan Brown, with Lindsey Fuentes-George joining via ZOOM
8	Ilsley Library Board of Trustees Joe McVeigh and Amy Mincher
9	Library Director Dana Hart
10	Town Staff Working Group Liaison Judith Harris
11	
12	The meeting was called to order at 2:00 p.m. by Joe McVeigh

### Adoption of Agenda

The agenda was adopted with the addition of a discussion on the Town Meeting survey question regarding the Library, and the estimated cost of the new HVAC system proposed for the Library and how the system relates to the future plans for expansion/renovation.

#### Approval of 1/6/22 Minutes

The minutes were approved as presented.

# Proposed New HVAC System for the Library

Hart said a little over a week ago she'd met with Director of Public Works Planning Dan Werner and the engineer of the HVAC system to look at the final design, and at that time they learned that the cost of just over \$1 million estimate was much higher than had been anticipated. The increase in cost is largely due to the difficulty obtaining parts and the labor shortage. She said even though the Library could continue to operate while the system is being installed, it would be incredibly difficult. She said if they had to relocate during the installation, the cost would go way beyond the estimated \$1 million. She said the issues now are the higher price of the system and the timing of having it ready to install during the summer construction season, as well as the ability to locate space to rent and move the collection in 3 months.

Hart said she updated the Library Board of Trustees about this at their meeting this past Monday, and this morning she and Werner updated the Infrastructure Committee, so it is proposed that we do not proceed with a bond vote at Town Meeting and to hold off until this fall when there might be more information on the direction this renovation project is moving in. She said the hope is that this could be timed so the library operations would only need to relocate once for both the renovation/expansion and the new HVAC system.

Fuentes-George asked if this meant they would need to use the existing heating system through next winter as well, and Hart said it does, but it was possible that if this fall it looked like it was going to be 5 or 6 years of fund raising and design for the renovations and expansion, then they might look at installing the new HVAC system in the 1924 building and the 1977 addition and switch the system in the 1988 addition over from oil to natural gas. She said if it is decided to renovate the entire existing

structure with both additions, then they would have to reevaluate and do each project separately. She said they're hoping to have an answer by this fall, but in any event it won't happen this summer.

Brown said the cost of the new HVAC system really got the Selectboard's attention, and they were reluctant to do a bond vote for a system when we're talking about a renovation. He said despite the fact the system could be moved, there is still the labor and installation costs involved, so he's very glad to hear this has been postponed. Hart said when this was originally discussed the cost was about half of what it is now, and at that point it made sense to move ahead and have a reliable heating system now, and while she's not excited about going another winter with the existing system, it's really the best way to move forward.

McVeigh said the Library Board had not fully understood the disruption the installation of these heat pumps would cause to the library operations for 3 months. Brown said it also isn't wise to ask the voters for a \$1 million now and then go back to them again for \$6 or 7 million in a few years, but it will be key to why we need a new library. Hart said they can limp along a little bit longer, but their existing systems are really at the end of their lives, but everyone seems comfortable that this decision is the way to go.

Fuentes-George said since they're going to have to limp along with this system, it might be a good idea to boost the budget needed this year to keep the existing system running. Harris suggested having the existing system inspected a little more frequently to be sure everything is working properly. Hart said a few years ago they spent about \$8,000 to replace parts and do work on the boiler to keep it going, so now they can look into what else can be done to extend the life.

## **Town Meeting Survey**

McVeigh said Hart had received a draft of the Town Meeting survey from Town Manager Kathleen Ramsay, and one portion of the survey asks respondents to "Indicate the extent you agree or disagree to the following statements" and one of the questions is "Locate Ilsley Library in a new location if the current site is too limited and expensive to renovate". Fuentes-George said this survey is not officially created by the Town, but by former Selectboard member Laura Asermily and she thought Asermily felt that if this is the direction the project might be going, this was one way to let people know and to get the ball rolling.

Hart said this might be a good way to ask some questions of the community, but Brown warned you have to be careful what you ask because you might not like the answer. He said he's been surprised by the number of people he's spoken to who would actually like to see the Library move to a new site.

Fuentes-George thought it was a good place to list some possible services that might be offered in a new library and ask people if they would utilize them, so it's putting this project on their radar, but not providing an answer we don't want to hear right now.

It was decided that they would be interested in having a question on the survey, with input on what the question is and how it's worded.

#### Draft Report and Charge

McVeigh said Harris had pointed out that on Page 3 under 2. (Findings of the 2014-2017 Library Building Committee) c. (Major Challenges) facing the library, that Item 1. says the 1988 and 1977 additions would need to be removed to fix the drainage issue. Further, Item c.2. says and that space for library expansion is limited due to the Town Offices, Main Street and Marquis Theater, and parking lot. He said Harris wondered if we really want to say this, since the architect and Committee weren't saying it was impossible due to these issues, it might just be more expensive. Harris said anyone reading these items might think that the current Committee has found this to be true and that these conclusions "still hold water".

They discussed how to reword this to make it sound that the drainage issue would be difficult and more expensive, but not impossible to deal with these limitations and they also reworded the building expansion limitations.

The statement regarding the HVAC system was now inaccurate, so it was agreed to change it to read "The Library heating system is at the end of its life and adds urgency to the timeline of this project, as does the total lack of ventilation." (Item B.1.f. of the Report)

When looking at the Charge, (Item 5 – Meeting Frequency) McVeigh wondered if they needed to address how often the Project Team should meet (it currently reads "at least semi-monthly"). It was decided by the group to at least eliminate the sentence that reads "Subgroups may meet more frequently". Hart said in talking with Werner regarding the HVAC system, he indicated that if we plan to move ahead with the HVAC system before the expansion project it would be helpful if they knew by this September if the library would be staying on-site and if the 1988 addition would be removed.

Harris suggested (regarding Item 8. Next steps following recommended design) adding that along with the presentation of the proposed design, that they also include projected costs that would be needed to analyze funding source options and in creating an implementation strategy. A suggested text revision by Harris was accepted by the group.

In regard to Section 9 - Site and Location, they discussed how to address exploring other options beyond the existing Main Street site. Harris recommends the use of a matrix that shows the advantages and disadvantages of each site looked at to not only help narrow down the best site, but to justify why that site is chosen. The wording of the section was edited to reflect the need for the Ilsley 100 Project Team to look at other site options.

Hart will work on finalizing the edits on the Report and the Charge for approval by the Ilsley Library Renovation Expansion Working Group and then submission to the Selectboard to consider at their January 25<sup>th</sup> meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 3:07 p.m.

138 Respectfully submitted,

139 Beth Dow